



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.12.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P(MD) No.22762 of 2017

M.Selvam

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Karur District, Karur.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnarayapuram Taluk,
Karur District.
3. The Reveune Divisional Officer,
Kulithalai,
Karur District.
4. The Tahsildar,
Office of the Tahsildar,
Krishnarayapuram,
Karur District.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 4th respondent to correct the erroneous revenue entries regarding Survey Nos.145/1H & 146/1H, Vayalur village, Krishnarayapuram Taluk, Karur District on the basis of the sale deed in favour of the petitioner dated 08.03.2013 and to issue patta in favour of the petitioner by considering his final representation dated 08.10.2017.

For Petitioner	: Mr.M.P.Senthil
For Respondents	: Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, seeking a direction to the fourth respondent to correct the erroneous revenue entries regarding Survey Nos.145/1H & 146/1H, Vayalur village, Krishnarayapuram Taluk, Karur District, on the basis of the sale deed in favour of the petitioner dated 08.03.2013 and to issue patta in his favour, by considering the final representation dated 08.10.2017.



2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission.

WEB COPY

3. The case of the petitioner is that he purchased the properties in Survey Nos. 145/1G, 146/1I, 145/1H & 146/1H situated at Vayalur village, Krishnarayapuram Taluk, Karur District. But, in respect of the properties in Survey Nos. 145/1H & 146/1H, erroneous entries have been made in the revenue records and patta has also been erroneously granted in favour of the brothers of the petitioner's vendor and in order to correct the same, the petitioner has given a representation dated 08.10.2017 to the respondents. As the same has not been considered so far, the petitioner is before this Court with the above mentioned prayer.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents submitted that if reasonable time is granted to the respondents, the representation of the petitioner will be considered and appropriate orders will be passed.

5. In view of the said submission, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the fourth respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 08.10.2017 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as any of the interested parties.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Karur District, Karur.
2. The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnarayapuram Taluk,
Karur District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Karur District.



4. The Tahsildar,
Office of the Tahsildar,
Krishnarayapuram,
Karur District.

WEB COPY

+1cc to Mr.M.P.Senthil, Advocate Sr.No.92436

MJ

VB/SV/MMS/SAR4/05/01/2018/3P/6C

W.P (MD) No.22762 of 2017
12.12.2017