



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.11.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P (MD) No.21601 of 2017

WEB COPY

R.Sethuraman

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santhom High Road,
Mylapore, Chennai - 28,
Tamil Nadu.

2.The District Registrar,
Sivagangai District Registration Office,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.

3.The Sub Registrar,
Kalaiyarkovil Registration Office,
Kalaiyarkovil,
Sivagangai District.

4.N.C.Vasudevan

5.Subramaniyan

6.Alagammai Chidambaram

7.Lakshmi Sevagan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 2nd Respondents to take necessary action against the 3rd respondent, regarding the bogus sale deed vide Document Nos.288/2011 and 295/2011 dated 24.01.2011 created by the 4th to 7th respondents, by considering petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sundar

For Respondents : Mr.T.S.Mohamed Mohideen,
Additional Government Pleader
for R.1 to R.3

O R D E R

By consent, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal, at the stage of admission.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr.T.S.Mohamed Mohideen, learned Additional Government Pleader,



who takes notice for the respondents 1 to 3. Notice to other respondents is dispensed with, as no adverse order is going to be passed and opportunity of hearing will be provided to them, by the authorities concerned.

3. According to the petitioner, the property in question belongs to him and the same was purchased by the fourth respondent by deed dated 15.04.1996, which, according to the petitioner, was subsequently cancelled in the same year. While so, the fourth respondent, colluding with some authorities, created a bogus patta in the name of the other contesting respondents, with regard to the property in question and aggrieved over the same, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 03.11.2017, before the authorities concerned to initiate action against the third respondent and to cancel the bogus patta. As the same is kept pending without any action, he is before this Court, for the aforesaid relief.

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that it would suffice, if a direction is issued to the authorities to dispose of his representation, on merits, within a stipulated time limit.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the limited scope of the relief sought for, this Court, without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, directs the respondents 1 & 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 03.11.2017 and pass appropriate orders, on its own merits and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the respondents 4 to 7 as well as all the other interested parties, if any, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santhom High Road,
Mylapore, Chennai - 28,
Tamil Nadu.

2.The District Registrar,
Sivagangai District Registration Office,
Sivagangai,
Sivagangai District.



3.The Sub Registrar,
Kalaiyarkovil Registration Office,
Kalaiyarkovil,
Sivagangai District.

WEB COPY

+1cc to M/S.R.Sundar, Advocate SR.No. 88891
+1cc to Special Government Pleader, SR.No. 89636

W.P (MD) No.21601 of 2017
23.11.2017

GK
JM/SV MMS/SAR 4/06.12.2017/3P/6C