



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.11.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P(MD) No.19532 of 2017

Balasubramanian

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The District Collector,
Pudukottai District,
Pudukottai.

2. The District Manager
(TASMAC)
Pudukottai,
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to issue necessary direction to the 2nd respondent to run the TASMAC Shop No.6699 in Survey No. 117/2C situated at Keelamanjakudi Village, Manamelkudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 11.10.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramakrishnan
For R - 1 : Mr.M.Alagathevan
Special Government Pleader
For R - 2 : Mr.H.Arumugam


ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to issue necessary direction to the second respondent to run the TASMAC Shop No.6699 in Survey No.117/2C situated at Keelamanjakudi Village, Manamelkudi Taluk, Pudukkottai District on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 11.10.2017.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned Special Government Pleader for the first respondent and the learned counsel for the second respondent.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

3.The case of the petitioner is that the property in Survey No. 117/2C situated at Keelamanjakudi Village, Manamelkudi Taluk,



Pudukkottai absolutely belongs to him and he rented the same to the second respondent to run the said TASMAL shop and as per rules and regulations, the said shop is situated two kilometers away from the said village. But, the first respondent directed to close the said shop due to the agitation made by some of the village people. Hence, the petitioner has given the representation dated 11.10.2017 to the first respondent, seeking a direction to the second respondent to run the TASMAL shop in the said property. As the same has not been considered so far, the petitioner is before this Court with the above prayer.

4.The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the first respondent submitted that if time is granted to the first respondent, the representation of the petitioner will be considered and appropriate orders will be passed.

5.In view of the said submission, the first respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 11.10.2017 and pass appropriate orders thereon on merits and in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6.With the above direction, this petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

The District Collector,
Pudukkottai District,
Pudukkottai.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.S.RAMAKRISHNAN, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 86099
+ 1 CC TO Mr.H.ARUMUGAM, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 86391
+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER IN SR No. 86543

MJ
TE/JC/SAR-I : 21/11/2017 : 2P/5C

W.P(MD) No.19532 of 2017
08.11.2017