



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 11.10.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P(MD) No.18967 of 2017

K.Pandi

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Usilampatti
Madurai District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to consider the petitioner's petition No. 314/2017 dated 12.01.2017 requesting the first respondent to take necessary action to rectify the mistakes committed in Patta No.945 relating to property bearing S.No.184/2C situated at Mallapuram Village, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District and to issue fresh patta to the petitioner for the above said property.

For Petitioner : Mr.PT.S.Narendravasan

For Respondents : Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's petition No.314/2017 dated 12.01.2017 requesting to take necessary action to rectify the mistakes committed in Patta No.945 relating to the property bearing S.No.184/2C situated at Mallapuram Village, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District and to issue fresh patta to the petitioner for the above said property.

2.The case of the petitioner is that patta has been granted to the petitioner for the above mentioned property, in which, the extent of property and names of the petitioner as well as his father have been wrongly mentioned. Hence, he has given a representation dated 12.01.2017 to the first respondent. Since no action has been taken on the said representation, the petitioner is before this



Court with the above prayer.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission.

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that if a reasonable time is granted to the respondents, the representation of the petitioner will be considered and suitable orders will be passed.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court, without going into the merits of the matter, directs the first respondent to consider the petition No.314/2017 dated 12.01.2017 and pass appropriate orders thereon on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Usilampatti
Madurai District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Peraiyur,
Madurai District.

+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER IN SR No. 82633
+ 1 CC TO Mr.P.T.S.NARENDRAVASAN, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 82343

MJ

TE/KK/SAR-III : 30/10/2017 : 2P/5C

W.P(MD) No.18967 of 2017
11.10.2017