



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED :06.10.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P(MD)No.18629 of 2017

WEB COPY

G.Natesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Revenue Tahsildar,
Tuticorin Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 31.05.2017 and to issue separate patta in the name of the petitioner within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner

: Mr.A.D.Ganeshamoorthi

For Respondent

: Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, seeking a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the representation dated 31.05.2017 and to issue separate patta in the name of the petitioner.

2.Heard both sides. By consent on either side, this writ petition is taken up for the final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the land in survey No.163/3C1 and 163/3B situated at K.Sawyerpuram, Kattalangulam Village were purchased in the name of petitioner's wife in the year 1997, by a registered sale deed. In the above said property, two houses were constructed and door number was allotted as 3/112. He has been paying house tax till day and house tax receipts and the electricity connection stand in the petitioner's name. While so, the petitioner's wife died on 21.01.2012. The petitioner in order to change the name in the revenue records, sent a representation on 31.05.2017 to the respondent, seeking issuance of separate patta in his name, in view of the joint patta No.1674 earlier issued by the respondent. However, no action has been taken on the petitioner's



representation. Being Ex-service man and senior citizen, he has been put into irreparable loss and hardships. Hence, he has been constrained to approach this Court, seeking a direction to the respondent to issue separate patta in the petitioner's name.

4. Mr. T. S. Mohammed Mohideen, the learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondent and would submit that the representation of the petitioner would be considered in accordance with law within the time stipulated by this Court.

5. Considering the limited scope of the relief sought in this writ petition, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 31.05.2017 and pass appropriate orders, on its own merits and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as all the interested parties, if any, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (Records)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Revenue Tahsildar,
Tuticorin Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

+ 1 CC TO MR. A. D. Ganeshamoorthi, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 81844
+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER IN SR No. 82145
MK/KK/SAR-1/23.10.2017/2P/4C

ORDER MADE IN
W. P (MD) No. 18629 of 2017
06.10.2017