



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.09.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) No.16996 of 2017

S.Nedunchezian,
S/o.V.Sundararajan,
Fifth Class Contractor,
Madurai Corporation,
No.105/1, Pandi Koil 5th Street,
Sammattipuram,
Madurai.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Aringar Anna Maligai,
Madurai - 625 002.

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to consider the petition representation dated 17.08.2017 and direct the respondent to issue the deposit amount Rs.2,49,530/- interest, which was deposited by the petitioner and the total amount of Rs.2,49,530/- calculated with 8% in the name and style of S.Nedunchezian, Fifth Class Contractor, contract Licence No.Ma.Po.4/13408/16 for the public work for the period of 2010 to 2017.

For Petitioner

: Mr.G.Anbu Saravanan

For Respondent

: Mr.J.Gunaseelan muthiah

ORDER


This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to refund the deposit amount along with interest, which was deposited by the petitioner, as a contractor for the public works, based on the petitioner's representation dated 17.08.2017.

2.Mr.J.Gunaseelan muthiah, learned counsel, takes notice for the respondent.

3.By consent of both the parties, this writ petition is taken up for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.Heard both sides.



5. According to the petitioner, he is a fifth class contractor. The respondent Corporation has given contract to the petitioner for more than twenty works, for which, he deposited Rs.2,49,530/- and after completion of the works, he made several representations for refund of the same. Since the same have not been considered so far, the petitioner is before this Court.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that it would suffice, if the representation of the petitioner dated 17.08.2017, is disposed of by the respondent as per law.

7. Considering the limited scope of the relief sought for, this Court, without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, directs the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 17.08.2017 and pass appropriate orders on its own merit and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl side)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Commissioner,
Madurai Corporation,
Aringar Anna Maligai,
Madurai - 625 002.

+1cc to Mr. J. GUNASEELAN MUTHIAH, Advocate, SR. 77498

+1cc to Mr. G. ANBUSARAVANAN, Advocate, SR. 77534

W.P (MD) No. 16996 of 2017
08.09.2017

GK/SMN

KK/SV MMS/SAR 4/06.10.2017/ 2P- 4C/