



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
DATED : 01.02.2017

WEB COPY

CORAM:  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.SELVAM  
and  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.KALAIYARASAN

W.P(MD)No.1692 of 2017

V.Saravanakumar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Superintendent of Police,  
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Sreeviliputhur Sub Division,  
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Sreeviliputhur Town Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.

4.The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Sreeviliputhur Town Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.

.. Respondents


PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the Impugned Order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.-----/Dhu.Kaa.Ka/ Camp/Thiruvilliputhur/2017, dated 22.01.2017 and quash the same as illegal and consequently to directing the respondents to give proper permission and protection for Kabadi Tournament on 11.02.2017 from 10.00 p.m. to 12.02.2017 till 06.00 p.m. at Perumbalcherry Street Ground, Perumbalcherry, Sreeviliputhur Taluk, Virudhunagar District.

For Petitioner

: Mr.R.Udhayakumar

For Respondents

: Mr.D.Muruganandam,  
Additional Government Pleader.



Constitution of India praying to call for records relating to the impugned order dated 22.01.2017 passed by the second respondent and quash the same, by way of issuing a writ of certiorarified mandamus.

WEB COPY

2.Mr.D.Muruganandam, learned Additional Government Pleader, has taken notice for the respondents.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that for conducting Kabadi Tournament in the place mentioned in the petition, a permission has been sought from the second respondent. But the second respondent without assigning proper reason has rejected the same by way of passing the impugned order dated 22.01.2017 and in order to quash the same, the present petition has been filed.

4.On the basis of the contention putforth on the side of the petitioner, this Court has perused the impugned order, wherein certain untenable/unacceptable reasons have been given for rejecting the requisition given by the petitioner.

5.Considering the fact that the reasons assigned by the second respondent for rejecting the requisition are not at all accepted, this Court is inclined to pass the following order.

6.In fine, this writ petition is allowed without costs and the impugned order dated 22.01.2017 passed by the second respondent is quashed. The second respondent is strictly directed to look into the representation given by the petitioner and proceed further in accordance with law.

SD  
ASST REGISTRAR

TRUE COPY

SUB ASST REGISTRAR CS 3

smn

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Superintendent of Police,  
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Sreeviliputhur Sub Division,  
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Sreeviliputhur Town Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.



4.The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Sreevilliputhur Town Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.

1CC TO MR. R. UDAYAKUMAR, ADVOCATE SR: 5253  
1CC TO THE SPL GOVT PLEADER SR: 5323

AM PM PN SAR 3  
3P 7C

ORDER MADE IN  
W.P (MD) No.1692 of 2017  
01.02.2017

1 2 17