



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 22.08.2017
CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

WEB COPY

W.P. (MD) No.15416 of 2017
and
W.M.P. (MD) Nos.12215 and 12216 of 2017

M.P.Seenivasan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai-4.
2. The Chairman,
The Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services,
Recruitment Board,
Old Commissioner of Police Office Campur,
Pantheon Road,
Egmore, Chennai-08.
3. The Chairman,
Recruitment Sub-Committee, Madurai Centre &
Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Madurai Range,
Madurai.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent in C.No.R2/150/2017 dated 07.08.2017 with respect to the petitioner Enrolment No.2419050 and quash the same and consequently direct the 2nd and 3rd respondent to conduct the fresh physical Efficiency Test (I) Rope Climbing to the petitioner and also direct the 1st and 2nd respondent to conduct the remaining others physical efficiency test and further direct the respondents to permit the petitioner to participate in the subsequent stage of the selection for the post of Grade-II Police Constable (Men Women & third Gender), Gr.II Jail Warders (Men & Women) and Firemen for the year 2017.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

: Mr.P.Balamurugan
: B.Pugalendhi
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.A.K.Baskara Pandian
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioner, having passed S.S.L.C, applied for the post of Grade -II Police Constables / Grad-II Jail Warders / Fireman - 2017, when applications were called for by the respondent Police Department. Subsequently, after passing the written examination, the petitioner was called for for the Physical Endurance Test.

3. Now, the grievance of the petitioner appears to be that the respondents have not measured the height of the petitioner properly. As a result, he has been found disqualified.

4. In view thereof, when the matter was earlier listed before this Court, this Court directed the respondents to produce the video recordings taken at the time of measurement.

5. As per the said direction, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent Police Department produced the video recordings and the same was played before me in the presence of the learned counsel on either side and also the petitioner.

6. A perusal of the same would go to show that the petitioner is not able to place his chin on the red mark on the rope. Hence, the petitioner is rightly found disqualified by the respondent Police Department.

7. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(crl side)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Director General of Police,
Dr. Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai-4.

2. The Chairman,
The Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services,
Recruitment Board,
Old Commissioner of Police Office Campur,
Pantheon Road,

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
Egmore, Chennai-08.



3. The Chairman,
Recruitment Sub-Committee, Madurai Centre &
Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Madurai Range,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

+1cc to Mr.P.Balamurugan, Advocate Sr.No.74336

+1cc to Spl.Government Pleader Sr.No.74268

TRP/PSD

VB/GT/SAR3/12/09/2017/3P/6C

**W.P. (MD) No.15416 of 2017
and**

**W.M.P. (MD) Nos.12215 and 12216 of 2017
22.08.2017**