



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
DATED : 21.08.2017

CORAM :

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA**

W.P. (MD) No.14970 of 2017

and

W.M.P. (MD) No.11794 of 2017

T.Mahalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Member Secretary,  
Tamilnadu Uniformed  
Services Recruitment Board,  
Chennai.

2.The Chairman / Sub Committee,  
Tamilnadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,  
Virudhunagar Range,  
Virudhunagar.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order vide C.No.R2/150/2017 dated 04.08.2017 on the file of the second respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents to hold re- physical efficiency test and proceed with further process in the common recruitment for the posts of Gr.II police Constables (Men, Women & Third Gender), Gr.II Jail Waters (Men & Women) and Firemen for the year 2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Anandaraj

For Respondents : Mr.B.Pugazhendhi,  
Additional Advocate General  
Assisted by Mr.A.K.Baskara Pandian,  
Special Government Pleader

### **O R D E R**

\*\*\*\*\*

By consent of both parties, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

2.The petitioner, having passed S.S.L.C, applied for the post of Grade -II Police Constables / Grad-II Jail Warders / Fireman - 2017, when applications were called for by the respondent Police Department. Subsequently, after passing the written examination, the petitioner was called for for the Physical Endurance Test.

3.Now, the grievance of the petitioner appears to be that the respondents have not seen her properly when she ran in the running event. As a result, she has been found disqualified.



4. In view thereof, when the matter was earlier listed before this Court, this Court directed the respondents to produce the video recordings taken at the time of measurement.

5. As per the said direction, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent Police Department produced the video recordings and the same was played before me in the presence of the learned counsel on either side and also the petitioner.

6. The petitioner has alleged that she was willing to take part in the 100 meters run event, but, she was forced to take part in 200 meters run event by the officials. Therefore, her participation in the 200 meters run event could not be taken into account and if the petitioner had taken part in the 100 meters event, she could have definitely proved herself. Therefore, she requested one more chance. The allegation of the petitioner was denied by the respondents. Even this Court finds it difficult to disbelieve the allegation of the petitioner and also contention of the respondent.

7. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has been wrongly given a chance to take part in 200 meters run event. Therefore, the respondents are directed to give one more opportunity to the petitioner to take part in the run event of her choice.

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Member Secretary,  
Tamilnadu Uniformed  
Services Recruitment Board,  
Chennai.
2. The Chairman / Sub Committee,  
Tamilnadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,  
Virudhunagar Range,  
Virudhunagar.

+1cc to Mr.A.ANANDARAJ., Advocate, SR.73687

+1cc to M/S.Special Government Pleader, SR.74187

W.P. (MD) No. 14970 of 2017  
21.08.2017