



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 21.08.2017
CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

WEB COPY

W.P. (MD) No.14969 of 2017
and
W.M.P. (MD) No.11793 of 2017

S.Pandiselvi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Member Secretary,
Tamilnadu Uniformed
Services Recruitment Board,
Chennai.

2.The Chairman / Sub Committee,
Tamilnadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Virudhunagar Range,
Virudhunagar.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order vide C.No.R2/150/2017 dated 04.08.2017 on the file of the second respondent and quash the same and direct the respondents to re-hold physical efficiency test and proceed with further process in the common recruitment for the posts of Gr.II police Constables (Men, Women & Third Gender), Gr.II Jail Waters (Men & Women) and Firemen for the year 2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Anandaraj

For Respondents : Mr.B.Pugazhendhi,
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.A.K.Baskara Pandian,
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

2.The petitioner, having passed S.S.L.C, applied for the post of Grade -II Police Constables / Grad-II Jail Warders / Fireman - 2017, when applications were called for by the respondent Police Department. Subsequently, after passing the written examination, the petitioner was called for for the Physical Endurance Test.

3.Now, the grievance of the petitioner appears to be that the respondent has not seen her properly when she ran in the running event. As a result, she has been found disqualified.



4. In view thereof, when the matter was earlier listed before this Court, this Court directed the respondents to produce the video recordings taken at the time of measurement.

5. As per the said direction, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent Police Department produced the video recordings and the same was played before me in the presence of the learned counsel on either side and also the petitioner.

6. The petitioner has alleged that she was willing to take part in the 100 meters run event, but, she was forced to take part in 200 meters run event by the officials. Therefore, her participation in the 200 meters run event could not be taken into account and if the petitioner had taken part in the 100 meters event, she could have definitely proved herself as a successful candidate. Therefore, she requested one more chance.

7. In view of the above submission, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has been wrongly given a chance to take part in 200 meters run event. Therefore, the respondents are directed to give one more opportunity to the petitioner to take part in the run event of her choice.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar.

To

1. The Member Secretary, Tamilnadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board, Chennai.

2. The Chairman / Sub Committee,
Tamilnadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Virudhunagar Range, Virudhunagar.

+1CC to Mr.A.Ananda raj, Advocate, SR.No. 73688
+1CC to the Special Government Pleader SR.No. 74186

W.P. (MD) No. 14969 of 2017
21.08.2017