



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 11.08.2017

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T. RAJAW.P. (MD) No. 14818 of 2017 and
W.M.P. (MD) Nos. 11659 and 11660 of 2017

S. Kandammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Tamil Nadu Uniformed
Service Recruitment Board,
Represented by its Member Secretary,
807, 2nd Floor, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.

2. The Chairman / Sub Committee,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board,
Egmore, Chennai - 8.

3. The Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District, Tuticorin.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records on the files of the second respondent pertaining to the order bearing C.No.R2/150/2017 dated 03.08.2017 and to quash the same and consequently assess the performance of the petitioner in the long jump event by correctly marking the 3 meters distance and direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as Grade II Police Constable within a time frame that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr. S. Gokulraj

For Respondents : Mr. B. Pugazhendhi

Additional Advocate General

Assisted by Mr. A. K. Baskara Pandian,
Special Government Pleader.**O R D E R**

By consent of both sides, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioner, having passed S.S.L.C, applied for the post of Grade - II Police Constables / Grade-II Jail Warders / Fireman - 2017, when applications were called for by the respondent Police Department. Subsequently, after passing the written examination, the petitioner was called for for the Physical Endurance Test.



3. Now, the grievance of the petitioner appears to be that the respondents have wrongly disqualified the petitioner in the event of long jump stating that she has not crossed the distance of 3 meters. As a result, she has been found disqualified.

4. In view thereof, when the matter was earlier listed before this Court, this Court directed the respondents to produce the video recordings taken at the time of measurement.

5. As per the said direction, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent Police Department produced the video recordings and the same was played before me in the presence of the learned counsel on either side and also the petitioner.

6. A perusal of the same would go to show that, the petitioner was able to jump upto 2.89 meters at the first instance. When she was given the second chance, she was not even able to make through 2.89 meters, instead, she was able to jump only upto 2.76 meters. Therefore, this Court is unable to find any infirmity in the impugned order. No doubt, the impugned order does not record the extent to which the petitioner has jumped. In any event, the video recording shows that the petitioner was not able to make through the long jump test. Hence, the petitioner is rightly found disqualified by the respondent Police Department.

7. The Writ Petition is dismissed with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board,
807, 2nd Floor, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Chairman / Sub Committee,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Service Recruitment Board,
Egmore, Chennai - 8.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Tuticorin District, Tuticorin.

+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER IN SR No. 72592
+ 1 CC TO Mr.S.GOKULRAJ, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 72280

MR/MM

TE/KK/SAR-II : 11/09/2017 : 2P/6C
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

W.P.(MD)No.14818 of 2017 and
W.M.P. (MD)Nos.11659 and 11660 of 2017
11.08.2017