



**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 18.08.2017**

**CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA**

WEB COPY

**WP(MD)No.14731 of 2017
and
WMP(MD)Nos.11570 and 11571 of 2017**

A.Sheik Abdullah

... Petitioner

vs.

1)Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Rep. by its Chairman,
Old Commissioner of Police Office Campus,
Pantheon Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

2)The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Old Commissioner of Police Office Campus,
Pantheon Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

3)The Chairman Sub Committee/Member
Dindigul Centre,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services Recruitment Board,
Old Commissioner of Police Office Campus,
Pantheon Road,
Egmore, Chennai-600 008.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the order passed by the respondent No.3 herein in C.No.R2/150/2017, dated 02.08.2017, quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to permit the petitioner to participate in the remaining physical efficiency test viz., long jump (or) high jump and 100 mtrs or 400 mtrs running relating to the recruitment for the post of Grade II Police Constable, Jail Warden, Firemen- 2017.

For Petitioner :Mr.D.Sivaraman

For Respondents :Mr.B.Pugalendhi
Additional Advocate General assisted by
Mr.A.K.Baskarapandian,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

The prayer in this writ petition is for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the order passed by the respondent No.3 herein in C.No.R2/150/2017, dated 02.08.2017, to quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to permit the petitioner to participate in the remaining physical efficiency test viz., long jump (or) high jump and 100 mtrs or 400 mtrs running relating to the recruitment for the post of Grade II Police Constable, Jail Warden, Firemen- 2017.

2.The impugned order has been questioned on the ground that during physical efficiency test, the petitioner's performance in respect of rope climbing had not been measured properly, therefore, the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

3.Considering the said submission, this Court directed the learned Additional Advocate General to produce the videographs taken during selection to the aforementioned posts.

4.Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the videogrphs in respect of rope climbing of the petitioner has been displayed before this Court in the presence of the petitioner and his counsel. The video clipping/recording shows that the petitioner is not able to reach upto 5.0 mts, secondly, as per the Rules, he has to place his chin on the point marked at the end of 5.0 mts which he failed to do, hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer of the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Writ Petition fails and the same is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-III)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1cc to Mr.D.Sivaraman, Advocate Sr.No.73307

+1cc to Spl.Government Pleader Sr.No.73649

NBI

VB/GT/SAR2/12/09/2017/2P/3C