



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.07.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **T.RAJA**

W.P. (MD)No.12950 of 2017

and

W.M.P. (MD)Nos.10040 and 10041 of 2017

Tmt.A.Malliga

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Personal Assistant to District Collector (Development),
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin.
2. The Block Development Officer (Village Panchayats),
Kayathar Panchayat Union,
Kayathar Post and Taluk,
Tuticorin District.
3. The Special Officer/Block Development Officer
(Village Pachayats),
North Ilanthaikulam Village Panchayat,
Kayathar Panchayat Union,
Kayathar Post and Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

... Respondents

PRAYER:Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records in pursuant to the impugned suspension order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings in Thi 3/1059/2017, dated 10.05.2017, and quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents to treat the period of suspension as duty-period and to grant all attendant and monetary benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Saravanakumar

For R-1 : Mr.D.Muruganantham
Additional Government Pleader

For R-2 and R-3 : Mr.R.Anandharaj
Government Advocate

**ORDER**

The petitioner has come to this Court challenging the impugned suspension order passed by the second respondent, dated 10.05.2017, on various grounds.

2.Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr.R.Anandharaj, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 2 and 3, produced an order, dated 10.07.2017, passed by the second respondent, in and by which, the second respondent has revoked the impugned order of suspension, which is under challenge in this Writ Petition and therefore, the relief sought for in the present Writ Petition has become infructuous.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that since the order of revocation has been passed, the respondents shall treat the suspension period as duty period.

4.However, in reply, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 2 and 3 submitted that since the order of revocation has already been passed accepting the case of the petitioner, necessary order will be passed in due course.

5.Recording the abovesaid submission, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Personal Assistant to District Collector (Development), Tuticorin District, Tuticorin.
2. The Block Development Officer (Village Panchayats), Kayathar Panchayat Union, Kayathar Post and Taluk, Tuticorin District.



3. The Special Officer/Block Development Officer
(Village Pachayats),
North Ilanthaikulam Village Panchayat,
Kayathar Panchayat Union,
Kayathar Post and Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

+1 cc to Mr.M.Saravanakumar , Advocate in SR.No. 66005
+1 cc to The Special Government Pleader in SR.No.66282

gsp/smn2
AE/MR KKR/SAR1/27.07.2017/3P/6C

W.P. (MD) No.12950 of 2017
and
W.M.P. (MD) Nos.10040 and 10041 of 2017
18.07.2017