



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 03.07.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

W.P (MD) No.12271 of 2017

and

W.M.P. (MD) No.9430 of 2017

N.V.Senthil Nathan
Deputy Finance Controller,
Virudhunagar Electricity Distribution Circle,
Virudhunagar District. ... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
TANGEDCO Limited,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Chief Engineer,
TANGEDCO Limited,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.
3. The Superintendent Engineer,
Virudhunagar Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGAECO,
Virudhunagar District. .. Respondents


PRAYER : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to grant the vacant post of Deputy Finance Controller of CE/Distribution/Madurai that arises on 30.06.2017 by considering the petitioner's request transfer representation, dated 19.06.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Muthuvelan

For Respondents : Mr.G.Kasinathadurai

O R D E R

By consent of both sides, this writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.



Managing Director, TANGEDCO Limited, to grant the vacant post of Deputy Finance Controller of CE/Distribution/Madurai that arises on 30.06.2017 by considering his representation dated 19.06.2017.

3. The petitioner is working as Deputy Finance Controller in the Office of the Superintendent Engineer, Virudhunagar Electricity Distribution Circle, TANGEDCO Limited, Virudhunagar District from 22.06.2016 onwards. While, he was working as Accounts Officer (AO) at Chennai, Chennai North Electricity Circle, he was promoted to the present post. He made a request to post him at Madurai Central Construction Circle. However, he was transferred to Dindigul Electricity Circle Office, Dindigul as DFC on 04.03.2015 and subsequently, he was transferred to Virudhunagar District, without any valid reason. Therefore, the petitioner has made a request to the first respondent to transfer him to SE/GCC Madurai, which was vacant on 31.05.2017 and the said request has not been considered. Therefore, the petitioner has come to this Court seeking the above said prayer.

4. It is not known, how the petitioner can maintain this petition for writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to block the future vacancy going to occur on 30.06.2017. If, this type of prayer is allowed, then the Court will be always flooded with similar representations to consider their transfers from one place to another place leaving the employer without any work. Secondly, the employer alone would know, who should be transferred where and hence, the prayer of the petitioner is wholly misconceived. Therefore, the writ petition is rejected. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

am

AE/SV/SAR1/14.07.2017/2P/1C

W.P. (MD) No. 12271 of 2017
03.07.2017