

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

DATED : 06.06.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.SELVAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.AUTHINATHAN
W.P(MD)No.10392 of 2017

N.Sethupathy

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District, Madurai.

2.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Palamedu Police Station,
Palamedu, Madurai District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER:Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the rejection order passed by the second respondent in C.No.05/PMD-PS/2017 dated 24.05.2017 and to quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to give permission and protection to perform the cultural programme of Aadal Padal (Kalai Nigaltchi) conducted by Madurai Abinaya on 09.06.2017 at about 08.00 pm in connection with the Urchava Festival of Arulmighu Sri Bhagavathy Amman (Manthai Amman) Kovil at Konappatti Village, Vadipatti Taluk, Madurai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Rajaji

For Respondents : Mr.K.Guru,
Additional Government Pleader.**ORDER**[Order of the Court was made by **A.SELVAM, J.**]

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to call for records relating to the rejection order dated 24.05.2017 passed by the second respondent and quash the same and also for directing the respondents to give necessary permission for conducting Adalpadal programme in the place mentioned therein, by way of issuing a writ of certiorarified mandamus.



2.Mr.K.Guru, learned Additional Government Pleader, has taken notice for the respondents.

3.It is seen from the records that the petitioner has given a representation for the purpose mentioned therein, but the second respondent has rejected the same by way of passing the impugned order dated 24.05.2017, wherein it has been simply stated that due to law and order problem, permission cannot be granted. The reason assigned by the second respondent cannot be accepted and therefore this Court is inclined to pass the following order.

4.In fine, this writ petition is allowed in part without costs and the impugned order dated 24.05.2017 passed by the second respondent is quashed. The second respondent is directed to look into the representation alleged to have been given by the petitioner afresh and pass suitable orders on merits within a period of two days.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(RTI)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District, Madurai.
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Palamedu Police Station,
Palamedu, Madurai District.

+1cc to M/S. N.RAJAJI, Advocate, SR.No.58681.

+1cc to Special Government Pleader, SR.No.58814.

ORDER MADE IN
W.P (MD) No.10392 of 2017
06.06.2017

SDS/SV/SAR 2/07.06.2017/2P/5C