



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.07.2017

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.SASIDHARAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.1037 of 2017
and
W.M.P(MD).No.868 of 2017

R.Palanichamy

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Chairman / Director of Bhoodan Yagna Board,
Office of the Commissioner of Land
Administration, Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.

2.The District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

3.The Assistant Director,
Geology and Mining,
District Collector & Office Campus,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

4.The Special Deputy Thasildar,
Mines, District Collector Office Campus,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

5.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.

6.The Thasildar, Nilakottai Taluk,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.

7.N.Sundar

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 and 6 herein to restore the lands vested with the Bhoodan Yagna Board, Panchami Tharisu, Tank and Highways Puramboke relating to survey number 104/7 of an extent of 0.67.0 Hectares, 105/6 of an extent of 0.70.5 Hectares, 105/7 of an extent of 0.68.5 Hectares, 105/8A of an extent of 0.91.0 Hectares, 105/8B of an extent of 0.00.5 Hectares, 105/9A of an extent of 0.24.0 Hectares, 105/9B of an extent of 0.06.5 Hectares, 105/9C of an extent of 0.04.5 Hectares, 105/10 of an extent of

Taluk, District
seventh

Mr.J.Barathan for R7.

(Order of the Court was made by G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.)

This writ petition has been filed for directing the official respondents to restore the Bhoodan and Panchami lands.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the official respondents and the counsel appearing for the seventh respondent.

3. The seventh respondent has filed counter affidavit pointing out that the lands referred to in the writ petition are not Panchami lands and Bhoodan Yagna Board lands. We called upon the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner to produce materials to show the character ascribed to the said lands in the petition.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner could not furnish any document to sustain the claim made in this writ petition.

5. We therefore hold that this writ petition has been filed without any foundational material. Only if the petitioner is able to show that the lands in question are Bhoodan and Panchami lands, it is possible to give direction to the authorities to consider his representation. Since he has not been able to convince this Court with regard to the character of the lands as claimed by the petitioner, We find no merit in the writ petition. This writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar



To

- 1.The Chairman / Director of
Bhoodan Yagna Board,
Office of the Commissioner of Land
Administration, Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai - 600 005.
- 2.The District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 3.The Assistant Director,
Geology and Mining,
District Collector & Office Campus,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
- 4.The Special Deputy Thasildar,
Mines, District Collector Office Campus,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
- 5.The District Revenue Officer,
Dindigul, Dindigul District.
- 6.The Thasildar, Nilakottai Taluk,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.

+1cc to Mr.T.R.JEYAPALAM Advocate in SR. No.66819
SKM/ARUL
JS/JC/SAR.1/28.7.2017/3P-8C

W.P(MD)No.1037 of 2017
and
W.M.P(MD) .No.868 of 2017
19.07.2017