



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Eleventh day of April Two Thousand Seventeen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice T.S.SIVAGNANAM
and
The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.VELMURUGAN

WMP(MD) No.2975 of 2017

IN

WP(MD) No.15316 of 2016

THE STATE OF TAMILNADU
REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF
PERSONNEL & ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS, FORT ST
GEORGE, CHENNAI-009. ... PETITIONER/1ST RESPONDENT


Vs

1 S.JAYABHARATHI ... RESPONDENT/PETITIONER.
2 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE
DINDIGUL DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT.

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to delete the petitioner/first respondent namely, the principal Secretary to Government, Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, Secretariat, Fort St.George, Chennai -009 from the cause title of the above Writ Petition on the ground of mis-joined of the parties.

Prayer in WP(MD). 15316/ 2016 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court Pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned selection List dated 27.02.2016 published by the 2nd respondent Principal District Judge, Dindigul in their official web-site for recruitment to the posts of Senior Bailiff, Quash the same in so far as it omits the Roster Point 2 intended for Scheduled Caste (Arunthathiyars)- W/DW -Priority, and further direct the 2nd respondent Principal District Judge to consider the petitioner for appointment to the said post against the said Roster Point 2 intended for Scheduled Caste Arunthathiyars) W/DW Priority in the said post.



the arguments of MR.R.ANANDHA RAJ, Government Advocate for the petitioner and of MR.T.CIBI CHAKRABORTHY, Advocate for the 1st respondent, and of MR.K.SAMIDURAI, Advocate for the 2nd Respondent. on behalf of the Respondents the court made the following order:-

Since the Second respondent/Selection authority has referred to the various Government orders dealing with the rule of reservations, we deem it appropriate to retain the first respondent in the array of parties. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-

11/04/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU,
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL & ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS
FORT ST.GEORGE, CHENNAI-600 009.

2 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

ORDER

IN

WMP (MD) No.2975 of 2017

IN

WP (MD) No.15316 of 2016

Date :11/04/2017

AM/RSK JM/SAR-4/12.04.2017/2P/3C.