



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 03.01.2017

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P (MD) No.6244 of 2014

WEB COPY

St.Stephen's High School,
Velayadha Nagar, Kollemcode Post,
Kanyakumari District,
represented by its Correspondent,
Manager, D.Jayakumari

... Petitioner

vs.

1)The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Principal Secretary,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

2)The Director of School Education,
College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

3)The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai and Post,
Kanyakumari District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the order of the third respondent passed in his proceedings in Aa.Ni.Mu.No.5542/Aa4/10, dated 20.09.2010 and to quash the same and to direct the respondents to approve the appointment of Mr.N.C.Vinu as Office Assistant in the Petitioner's School from 1.7.2010 and pay all the attendant benefits from 13.7.2010 onwards.

For Petitioner : M/s.D.Anbarasu
For R1 to R3 : Mr.A.K.Baskarapandian
Special Govt.Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, seeking to quash the order of the third respondent passed in Aa.Ni.Mu.No.5542/Aa4/10, dated 20.09.2010, vide which, the 3rd respondent has returned the proposal, to resubmit the proposal enclosing the order granting prior permission to fill up the non-teaching posts by the petitioner school. The petitioner has also sought for direction to the respondents to accord approval for the appointment of Mr.N.C.Vinu as Office Assistant in the petitioner school w.e.f. 1.7.2010 with all attendant monetary benefits.



2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents.

3. The petitioner has appointed one N.C.Vinu as Office Assistant with effect from 1.7.2010 in their school, which is a non-minority school. The petitioner forwarded the proposal of approval of appointment on 26.12.2012. The eligibility of the petitioner to the post of Office Assistant is not in dispute. By the impugned order, the third respondent has returned the proposal, directing the petitioner school to resubmit the proposal enclosing the order granting prior permission to fill up the non-teaching posts by the petitioner school.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the school is governed by the Tamil Nadu Recognized Private Schools (Regulation) Act, 1973 and the Rules 1974 framed thereunder. Section 19 stipulates the qualifications and the conditions of service of employees in private schools. Section 20 speaks about the conditions of appointment. In addition to that, the Tamil Nadu Minority Aided Schools (Recognition and Payment of Grant) Rules, 1977 also stipulates the rules in respect of *Administration of Aided Minority School*. Annexure - III of Rule 8 provides for the *sanctioning and appointment of* Library Clerk, Record Clerk and Laboratory and Library Assistants, Peons, Watchman, Waterman, Gardener, Sweeper and Scavenger in the Minority Aided Schools.

5. It is seen that the Government of Tamil Nadu vide G.O.Ms.212 dated 29.11.2001 imposed ban on appointment of different categories of post, excepting Police, Doctors and Teachers. The ban was lifted vide G.O.14 dated 07.02.2006 enabling the fulfillment of non-teaching staff. G.O.Ms.115 dated 30.05.2007, directed filling up of certain categories of non-teaching staff by appointment and the remaining categories of non-teaching staff to be outsourced. Vide G.O.Ms.No.139 dated 29.07.2009, the vacancies of Junior Assistant and Office Assistant are to be filled upon on a priority basis. Subsequently, G.O.203 dated 23.07.2010 mandated that certain categories of non-teaching staff like Junior Assistant, Librarian, Laboratory Assistant, Record Clerk and Office Assistant are to be approved from the date of appointment.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that once the post is sanctioned by the Director under Rule 15(1) of the Rules, sanction of grant ought to have been done as per Rule 11(2) of the Rules and there is no need to get prior permission from any authority to fill the vacancies that would arise in the sanctioned post. Unless the State Government suitably amends the provisions of the Act and the Rules making it mandatory to obtain prior permission for filling up of those sanctioned non-teaching posts, the Government could not issue Government Orders.

seeking p

Concordia High and Higher Secondary Schools V. Tmt.S.Christy and Others, reported in 2013 Writ L.R. 691 held as under:

"4. In considering the contentions as put forth in the writ petition, learned single Judge pointed out to the judgment passed by this Court in W.A.Nos.93 and 94 of 2009 decided on 06.01.2010 that for any sanctioned post, no prior approval is necessary. In respect of proceedings noting the availability of posts, the learned single Judge pointed out that there is no necessity for prior approval. In any event, the appointment without getting approval could not be a ground for not considering the writ petitioner's plea"

6.3. A similar question arose for consideration in the case of *S.Rasheetha Banu V. State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its Secretary to Government, Chennai and others*, reported in (2012) 4 MLJ 198 wherein this Court has categorically held that "if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post in the Private Aided Minority School, the approval cannot be rejected for the purpose of grant on the ground that no prior permission was obtained before appointment". It is useful to extract paragraph 7 of the said order in this regard :

"7. The issue involved in this Writ Petition was already considered by a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.1263 of 2001, dated 22.1.2004. In the said Judgment, it is held that if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post, the approval of appointment cannot be rejected and if there is fall in strength and the post become surplus, after granting approval of the post, the said teacher along with post could be transferred/deployed to a needy school. The said Judgment of the Division Bench was followed in W.P.(MD)No.11353 of 2008, dated 11.9.2009. As against the said order dated 11.9.2009, the department preferred W.A.(MD)No.703 of 2009. A Division Bench of this Court, by its Judgment dated 1.2.2011, dismissed the said Writ Appeal."



7. The question of approval to the appointment of non-teaching staff in the sanctioned post after the introduction of G.O.Ms.No.115 and G.O.203 came to be considered by this Court and the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court quashed G.O.Ms.115 dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.203 dated 23.07.2010 vide order dated 15.03.2016 passed in W.P.(MD) Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch. It will be appropriate to extract the operative portion of the order as under:-

"38. In the result, for the details reasons mentioned above,

(i) All these writ petitions are allowed.

(ii) Impugned G.Os., namely, G.O.Ms.No.115, School Education Department, dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.Ms.No.203, School Education Department, dated 23.07.2010 and Government Letter No.8884/D1/2011-2, dated 09.07.2012, are quashed.

(iii) The impugned orders of the DEOs/DEEOs refusing to approve of the appointments of various non-teaching posts in these writ petitions are set aside and the official respondents are directed to approve of those appointments of the non-teaching staff in the Private Aided Schools concerned in these writ petitions and to sanction grant.''

8. In view of the above stated position and also in the light of the judgment of this Court in W.P.(MD) Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch (stated supra), this Court is of the view that the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

9. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is allowed. The impugned order passed in Aa.Ni.Mu.No.5542/Aa4/10, dated 20.09.2010, is set aside and the third respondent is directed to accord approval to the appointment of N.C.Vinu as Office Assistant in the petitioner school with effect from 1.7.2010 with all monetary and other service benefits, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Co)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1)The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Principal Secretary,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

2)The Director of School Education,
College Road,
Chennai-600 006.



3) The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai and Post,
Kanyakumari District.

Vsn

AE/CM MSA/31.01.2017/5p/4c

W.P (MD) No. 6244 of 2014
03.01.2017