



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.06.2017

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM  
AND  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN**

W.A.[MD].No.570 of 2017  
and  
C.M.P(MD).No.4731 of 2017

1. The District Collector,  
Madurai District,  
Madurai.

2. The Tahsildar,  
Peraiyur Taluk,  
Madurai District.

: Appellants

**Vs.**

M.Pradeepkumar

: Respondent

**PRAYER:** Writ Appeal is filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 27.06.2014 made in W.P.(MD).No.10386 of 2014, on the file of this Court.

**Prayer in WP(MD). 10386/ 2014 :**

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent in Na.Ka.No. 12038/A2/2013, dated 30.08.2013 and quash the same as illegal and consequentially direct the respondents to provide any suitable employment to the petitioner on compassionate ground within a stipulated time.

For Appellants : Mr.V.Muruganantham  
Additional Government Pleader

**JUDGMENT**


\*\*\*\*\*

**[Judgment of the Court was delivered by T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J.]**

Heard Mr.V.Muruganantham, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the appellants.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. This Writ Appeal is directed against the order dated 27.06.2014 made in W.P.(MD).No.10386 of 2014 relating to appointment



of the respondent herein on compassionate ground. The father of the respondent herein, who served as Village Assistant, died in harness, on 14.11.2012. Seeking employment on compassionate ground, the respondent submitted an application, which was rejected on the ground that it was made after lapse of three years of the death of the employee. The Writ Court, taking into consideration the decision in the case of **R.Sridevi vs. Secretary to Government** reported in **(2012) 2 MLJ 211**, allowed the Writ Petition. Before us, there is no record placed so as to come to the conclusion that the said decision has been reversed or modified. Therefore, the appellants have not made out any case to interfere with the order passed by the Writ Court.

3. In the result, the Writ Appeal fails and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (P&A)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

**To**

1. The District Collector,  
Madurai District,  
Madurai.
2. The Tahsildar,  
Peraiyur Taluk,  
Madurai District.

NB

TE/JC/SAR-III : 19/06/2017 : 2P/3C

JUDGMENT MADE IN  
W.A.[MD].No.570 of 2017 and  
C.M.P(MD) .No.4731 of 2017  
05.06.2017