



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Thursday, the Twenty Seventh day of July Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.M.BASHEER AHAMED

CRL OP(MD) No.9710 of 2017

1 V.PANDIYA RAJAN  
2 V.MAHARAJAN  
3 M.ARUNKUMAR  
4 P.VIMAL

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.1 TO 4

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
OTHAKADAI POLICE STATION,  
MADURAI DISTRICT.  
CRIME NO.431 OF 2017

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.M.JEGADEESH PANDIAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.RAMAR, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.341 of 2017 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to a dispute regarding pathway, the petitioners attacked the de facto complainant and abused in filthy language.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police on instruction would submit that totally there are 4 accused, who are the petitioners herein. He also submitted that no one sustained injury and investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that no one sustained injury, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of



arrest or on their appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required and the petitioners 1, 3 and 4 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[b]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-  
27/07/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
OTHAKADAI POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

NBJ  
CSL/RR-BS/SAR-I/02.08.2017 : 2P/5C

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.9710 of 2017  
Date :27/07/2017