

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

DATED : 24.07.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDARCRL.OP.(MD)No.8925 of 2017

1.Ganapathy

2.Ramamoorthy

3.Senthilkumar

4.Murugan

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4
Vs.1.The State, represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Town Police Station
Thirumangalam,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.219/2017)...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Thandeeswaran

...2nd Respondent/De-facto
Complainant

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, praying this Court to call for the records relating to Crime No.219 of 2017, dated 3.4.2017, on the file of the first respondent-Police and to quash the same as against the Petitioners.

For Petitioner	: M/s.S.Mahendrapathy
For Respondent-1	: Mr.K.Anbarasan Govt. Advocate(Crl.Side)
For Respondent-2	:Mr.G.Karuppasamy Pandian


O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the FIR in Crime No.219 of 2017, on the file of the first respondent Police

2.Heard the submissions of Mr.S.Mahendrapathy, learned counsel for the Petitioners and Mr.K.Anbarasan, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side)appearing for the first respondent/State and Mr.G.Karuppasamy Pandian, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent and perused the materials placed before this Court including the joint compromise memo filed by both the parties.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

3.Based on the complaint given by the second respondent/de-



facto complainant against the Petitioners, FIR in Crime No.219 of 2017 was registered for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC. After registration of the FIR, it appears that the parties have entered into a compromise. It is stated that the dispute among the Petitioners and the de-facto complainant is amicably settled out of Court. In the joint compromise memo filed before this Court, the parties have requested to quash the FIR in Crime No.219 of 2017. Today the parties appear before this Court and expressed that they have signed the compromise memo, dated 30.6.2017 on their own free will and volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced before this Court by the parties concerned. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) through the first respondent/Police.

4. Having regard to the specific terms in the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served in keeping this petition pending. Hence, in the circumstances stated above, this Court is inclined to quash the FIR registered against the Petitioners on the basis of the Joint Compromise Memo, dated 30.6.2017 filed by both the parties.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the FIR in Crime No. 219 of 2017, pending on the file of the respondent No.1/Police is quashed. The Joint compromise memo, dated 30.6.2017 shall form part and parcel of this order.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thirumangalam Town Police Station
Thirumangalam,
Madurai District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

VSN

JS/MR.KKR/SAR.1/1.8.2017/2P-3C

ORDER MADE IN
CRL.OP. (MD) No.8925 of 2017
24.07.2017



WEB COPY