



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 13.07.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **S.S.SUNDAR**

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.8890 of 2017

1.Bharath  
2.Bebhin  
3.Sudharsan  
4.Kundumuthu  
5.G.Manoraj  
6.M.Manoraj  
7.Perumal  
8.Subhash  
9.Vijay  
10.Rethinam @ Rethinasamy ... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 10

Vs.

1.The State  
By the Inspector of Police,  
Pazhavor Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District. ...1<sup>st</sup> Respondent/Complainant

2. Rajasekar ... 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/De-facto Complainant

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records pertaining to Crime No.69 of 2017 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Venkatesh  
For Respondents : Mr.K.Anbarasan  
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

### **ORDER**

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to call for the records pertaining to Crime No.69 of 2017 on the file of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent and quash the same.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent.

3. This is the case where the case and counter are registered for the offences under Section 147, 148, 341, 294(b) 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC. It is stated that due to some wordy quarrel the case and counter were registered. However, pursuant to the persuasion and intervention of elders, it is stated that a oral compromise was earlier arrived at. Since the matter was settled amicably the parties agreed mutually that they will not proceed against other further. A compromise memo signed by the parties is



produced.

4. The parties appeared in person and they have submitted that they have signed in the compromise memo out of their own will and volition. The identifications of the parties, who signed in the compromise memo, were also verified by this Court through authenticated documents produced by them. Apart from that, identity of those persons are confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) through the respondent police.

5. Since the parties in this case have mutually agreed not to proceed further in the above case, this Court has no difficulty in accepting the compromise memo and disposing of the above Criminal Original Petition as this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending.

6. Hence, this Criminal Original petition is allowed and the complaint registered in Crime No.69 of 2017 is quashed on the basis of the compromise memo filed by the parties concerned, dated 10.06.2017. The compromise memo shall form part of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AD-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Inspector of Police,  
Pazhavor Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.D.Venkatesh Advocate in SR. No.65156

TA/VSA

JS/SV/SAR.1/27.7.2017/2P-4C

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.8890 of 2017  
13.07.2017