



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Third day of July Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.M.BASHEER AHAMED

CRL OP(MD) No.8222 of 2017

1 KANDASAMY
2 MUTHUPETCHI
3 BALAMURUGAN
4 UMAMAHESWARI

... PETITIONERS / A1 to A4

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUPPARAKUNDRAM POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT
(CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN OF 2017) ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.Ramar, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 366(A) of IPC., Crime No. Not Known of 2017, seek anticipatory bail.

2. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent states that no FIR or complaint is pending, as against the petitioners and therefore, the alleged threat of arrest or harassment, as stated by the petitioners herein, is not true.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, at this stage. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
03/07/2017

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUPPARAKUNDRAM POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

WEB COPY

+1. CC to M/S.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate SR.No.25771

SM:CM-MSA:SAR 2:6.7.2017:2P/4C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.8222 of 2017

Date :03/07/2017