



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Seventeenth day of May Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.V.MURALIDARAN

CRL OP(MD) No.6113 of 2017

1 GOVINTHARAJ
2 MARIYAMMAL
3 SAROJINI
4 SHANTHI
5 MALLIGA
6 NAGARAJ

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NOS.2 TO 7

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, RAJAPALAYAM,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DT.
CR.NO.6 OF 2016

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.J.KARTHICK Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners / accused 2 to 7, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 498(A) and 506(i) IPC read with Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.6 of 2017, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence and they are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioners are only in-laws of the de facto complainant.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that due to additional demand of dowry, the petitioners harassed the de facto complainant and investigation is yet to be completed and hence, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail.



5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the gravity of offence said to have been committed by the petitioners and the petitioner are only in-laws of the de facto complainant, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Srivilliputtur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[b]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560).

sd/-

17/05/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, SRIVILLIPUTTUR.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGER DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOME POLICE STATION, RAJAPALAYAM,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.J.KARTHICK Advocate SR.No.22246

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.6113 of 2017

Date :17/05/2017