



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Eighteenth day of May Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.V.MURALIDARAN

CRL OP(MD) No.5940 of 2017

1 V.PARAMASIVAM

2 P.VELLATHURAI

... PETITIONERS/RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT,
CRIME NO.12/2017

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S. A. CHANDRAKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners / accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 460, 420, 120(B), 294(b), 465, 468, 471 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.12 of 2017, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.


2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence and they are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that and investigation is yet to be completed and hence, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the gravity of offence said to have been committed by the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made

severely, courts go from services. The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for



a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only), which was received as advance from the defacto complainant, within a period of two weeks to the credit of Cr.No.12 of 2017 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, without prejudice to their defence in the criminal case and at the end of trial, the learned Judicial Magistrate may pass appropriate orders with regard to the disbursement of the amount;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-
18/05/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, DINDIGUL.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S. A. CHANDRAKUMAR Advocate SR.No.22334

SSL
CSL/PN/SAR-V/22.05.2017 : 2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5940 of 2017
Date : 18/05/2017