



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourth day of May Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice N.AUTHINATHAN

CRL OP (MD) No.5602 of 2017

1 PRAKASH
2 MANIKANDAN
3 PRABAKARAN

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED NO.2 TO 4

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SETHUBAVACHATHRAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.71 OF 2017)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.B.ANANDAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.ANBARASAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147,148,341,294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.71 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous motive, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant by using wooden log and also abused him in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the A1 and A5 have already been released on bail.

5. Having regard to the fact that the antecedent of the petitioners are not reported to be bad and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to



be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

04/05/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PATTUKKOTTAI.
 2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR AT KUMBAKONAM.
 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SETHUBAVACHATHRAM POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI. V
- +1. CC to M/S.B.ANANDAN Advocate SR.No.21867

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5602 of 2017

Date : 04/05/2017

MS/MSA/SAR-4/05.05.2017/2P.6C