



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourth day of May Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice N.AUTHINATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.5580 of 2017

I.MADASAMY

... PETITIONER/SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

SATTUR TOWN POLICE STATION,

SATTUR,

VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT,

(IN CRIME NO.220 OF 2017)

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.PANDI MAHARAJA Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.K.ANBARASAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.220 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and her mother and also abused them in filthy language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that it is a family dispute and no one has sustained serious injury and the investigation is pending.

5. Having regard to the fact that antecedent of the petitioner is not reported to be bad and this nature of allegations , I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from



the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

04/05/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, SATTUR.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRDHUNAGAR AT SRIVLIPUTTUR.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SATTUR TOWN POLICE STATION,
SATTUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.PANDI MAHARAJA Advocate SR.No.21851

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5580 of 2017

Date : 04/05/2017

SM/KK/SAR-4/05.05.2017/2P.6C