



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.04.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N. PRAKASH

CRL.O.P. (MD) No. 4052 of 2017

1. V.C.Ramu@Veerapathiran
2. V.C.Ravichandran
3. Vinoth @ V.C.Vinoth Kannan : Petitioners / Accused No.1 to 3

-Vs-

1. The State Rep.by
Inspector of Police,
B3, Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai District.
In Crime No.1786 of 2016) : 1st Respondent/Complainant
2. S.Ramar : 2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant


PRAYER: Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code Praying to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No1786 of 2016 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quesh the same as the matter is amicably settled between parties and thus render justice.

For Petitioners : Mr. V.S.Kishok Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.A.P.Balasubramani
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
for R.1

O R D E R

On the complaint lodged by second respondent, the first respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.1786/2016 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 341, 506(ii) of I.P.C. against the petitioners herein, for quashing which, the petitioners and the *defacto* complainant are before this Court on the ground that they have arrived at a compromise.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr.Isaac Samuvel, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, B3 Theppakualm Police Station, Madurai District is present. The *defacto* complainant is present and all the other petitioners are present and their



identifications were also verified by this Court, in addition to the confirmation of the identity of the parties by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) through Mr. Isaac Samuvel, Special Sub-Inspector of Police, B3 Theppakualm Police Station, Madurai District.

WEB COPY

3. The petitioners and the second respondent have filed a joint compromise memo, wherein, it is stated as follows:

"3. It is respectfully submitted that subsequent to the filling of F.I.R as against the petitioners/ Accused Nos. 1 to 3, the de-facto complainant as well as the petitioners have amicably resolved their differences and settled the entire dispute at the instance of the elders of both sides. The de-facto Complainant is not interested in prosecuting the petitioners further. Hence, the de-facto complainant has no objection in allowing the above quash petition since the matter has been amicably settled between both parties.

4. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending. Therefore, this petition is allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No. 1786/2016 on the file of the first respondent police in respect of all the accused including those who are not before this Court are hereby quashed. The joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

5. At the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners themselves voluntarily came forward to contribute some amount for the purpose of removal of Karuvelam Trees.

6. Accepting the submission, the petitioners are directed to pay a sum of Rs.1000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) **each**, to the credit of Indian Bank Savings Account No.6514082295, operated by the Registrar (Administration), Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai, for the purpose of removal of Seemai Karuvelam Trees, within a period of two weeks from today. After making payment, a copy of the challan shall be furnished to the Registrar (Administration), Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(RTI)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar



To

1.The Inspector of Police,
B3, Theppakulam Police Station,
Madurai District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

3.The Registrar (Administration),
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+2cc to Mr.V.S.Kishok Kumar, Advocate Sr.No.50611

SSL

VB/KP/19.04.2017/3P/6C

CRL.O.P. (MD) No. 4052 of 2017

Dated: 06.04.2017