



SBFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.N. PRAKASH

CrI.O.P. (MD) No.3532 of 2017

WEB COPY

1.N.Murugan

2.M.Kalidoss

3.M.Kumaresan

4.M.Jekatheeswari

: Petitioners /Accused 1,3,4,5

-Vs-

1.State Represented by

The Inspector of Police,

Oomatchikulam Police Station,

Madurai District.

(Crime No.476 of 2014)

2.M.Selvi

: Respondents

PRAYER: Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code to call for the records pertaining to the Criminal proceedings in Cr. No.476 of 2014 on the file of first respondent and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Venkatesan

For Respondent No.1 : Mr.K.Anbarasan,
Government Advocate (CrI.side)

For Respondent No.2 : Mr.P.Kumarasamy

O R D E R

On the complaint lodged by one Selvi, the first respondent police registered a case in Crime No.476 of 2014 for offences under Sections 147, 294(b), 342, 324 and 506(ii) of the Indian Penal Code r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act against the petitioners herein, for quashing which, the petitioners and the defacto complainant are before this Court on the ground that they have arrived at a compromise.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the Inspector of Police, Oomatchikulam Police Station is present. The defacto complainant is present and the petitioners are also present and their identifications were also verified by this Court, in addition to the confirmation of the identity of the parties by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) through the Inspector of Police, Oomatchikulam Police Station.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/>
3. The petitioners and the second respondent have filed a joint compromise memo dated 15.03.2017, wherein, it is stated as



follows:

"Now both the Defacto Complainant and the Petitioners entered into compromise in the presence of elder persons and amicably solved the dispute. So the petitioners preferred this instants petition to quash the F.I.R. in Cr.No.476 of 2014, on the file of the Respondent No.1."

4. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending. Therefore, this petition is allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.476 of 2014 on the file of the first respondent, in respect of all the accused including those who are not before this Court are hereby quashed. The joint compromise memo dated 15.03.2017 shall form part of this order.

5. At the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners themselves voluntarily came forward to contribute some amount for the purpose of removal of Seemai Karuvelam Trees.

6. Accepting the submission, the petitioners are directed to pay a sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred only) **each** to the credit of Indian Bank Savings Account No.6514082295, operated by the Registrar (Administration), Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai, for the purpose of removal of Seemai Karuvelam Trees, within a period of two weeks from today. After making payment, a copy of the challan shall be furnished to the Registrar (Administration), Madurai Bench of Madras High Cour, Madurai.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

Encl: Joint Compromise Memo dated.15.03.2012.

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Oomatchikulam Police Station, Madurai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Copy To:

The Registrar (Administration),
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to Mr.R.Venkatesan, Advocate, SR No.17921

SML

PSM/SKN/SAR4/05.04.2017/2P/5C