



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty First day of March Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.M.BASHEER AHAMED

CRL OP(MD) No.2456 of 2017

1 KAMALA KANNAN
2 ABI RAMA PANDIAN
3 VJAYAKUMAR
4 SANTHANARAJ

... PETITIONER/A1,A3,A4 & A5

Vs

STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNANAGAR POLICE STATION,
MADURAI.
CRIME NO.136/2017

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S. K.MALATHI, Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANDASAMY, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : M/S.R.ANAND, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 365, 342 & 506 in Crime No.136 of 2017 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with the other accused have given a false promise of exchanging old currency note into a new one and that on 21.09.2011 they kidnapped the de facto complainant and demanded a sum of Rs.50 lakhs.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition in respect of A1, A2 & A3. He also submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) for the



respondent Police submitted that this Court by order dated 08.02.2017 in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.1218 of 2017, observed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in this case, in respect of the alleged kidnap and demand of huge money from the de facto complainant by the accused person. He also submitted that in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.1855 of 2017 this Court by order dated 22.02.2017 while dismissing the petition, directed the respondent Police to secure the accused. He also submitted that investigation is still pending.

5.The counsel for intervenor submitted that the present petition is the third anticipatory bail petition.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no change in circumstances after dismissal of the earlier Anticipatory Bail applications, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

sd/-
21/03/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNANAGAR POLICE STATION, MADURAI.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADRAS HIGH COURT OF MADURAI BENCH, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.K.MALATHI, Advocate SR.No.16389

CSL/PM-PN/SAR-III/23.03.2017 2P/4C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2456 of 2017
Date :21/03/2017