



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED:20.12.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.17659 of 2017

WEB COPY

Anandh

: Petitioner / Accused

-Vs-

1. The state rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Keeranur Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
(Crime No.346 of 2017)

2. Ramadoss

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to accept the compromise memo and quash the proceeding in Crime No.346 of 2017 on the file of the The Inspector of Police, Keeranur Police Station, Pudukkottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.P. Ganapathisubramanian

For Respondent No.1 : Mr.K.S. Duraipandian
Additional Public Prosecutor


For respondent no.2 : Mr.C.Muthu Thiagarajan

O R D E R

This Criminal Original petition is filed to accept the compromise memo and quash the proceeding in Crime No.346 of 2017 on the file of the The Inspector of Police, Keeranur Police Station, Pudukkottai District.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent no.1 and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. The petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.346 of 2017. On the basis of complaint lodged by the second respondent, a case was registered in Crime No.346 of 2017 as against the petitioner under Sections 294(b) and 323 of IPC on the file of the first respondent Police.



4. After registration of the complaint, the parties appears to have settled their dispute amicably out of Court, at the instigation of the elders and well wishers and they have also entered into a compromise. A joint compromise memo signed by the parties concerned in the presence of their respective counsels is produced before this Court.

5. As per the joint compromise memo the petitioner and the second respondent, who have appeared before this Court have stated in unequivocal terms that the Joint Compromise Memo was signed by them on their own will and volition. The identities of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor through the first respondent Police.

6. In the Compromise Memo, the de facto complainant has agreed to withdraw the criminal case and the petitioner and the second respondent have requested this Court to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.346 of 2017, on the file of the first respondent Police.

7. In the said circumstances, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, on the basis of the compromise memo signed by the parties, the Criminal Original petition is allowed and the proceedings pending in Crime No.346 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent Police is quashed. The Joint Compromise Memo, dated 13.12.2017 signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Keeranur Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC TO M/S.P.GANAPATHI SUBRAMANIAN, ADVOCATE, SR NO.94008

CRL.O.P. (MD) No.17659 of 2017
20.12.2017