



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twentieth day of December Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.17538 of 2017

MANIKANDAN,

... PETITIONER /SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TIRUCHENDUR TEMPLE POLICE STATION,
TUTICORIN DISTRICT.

(IN CRIME NO.206 OF 2017) ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.V.MALAIYENDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.ANBARASAN Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner/Sole Accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 294(b), 323, 506(ii) of I.P.C. and section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.206 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a restaurant. On the date of occurrence, after closing the restaurant, the petitioner came there in a drunken mood and demanded tiffin from the defacto complainant. Thereby, a wordy quarrel arose between them. Then the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant with firewood. Hence, the defacto complainant gave a complaint before the respondent police against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and prays for anticipatory bail.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the injured



person has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tiruchendur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the investigation officer, daily between 10.00 a.m., and 11.00 a.m., for a period of two weeks;

(ii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation including custodial interrogation as and when required by the investigation officer;

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(iv) the petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail;

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.


sd/-
20/12/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

rmk
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUCHENDUR.



2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TUTICORIN DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TIRUCHENDUR TEMPLE POLICE STATION, TUTICORIN DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

WEB COPY

+1. CC to M/S.V.MALAIYENDRAN Advocate SR.No.36660

GJM/CM/SAR-4-26.12.2017-3P-6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17538 of 2017
Date :20/12/2017