



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:20.12.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.17523 of 2017

WEB COPY

Saravanan : Petitioner / Sole Accused

-Vs-

1. The state rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sankarankoil Town Police Station,
Thirunelveli District.
(Crime No.102 of 2017)

2. Rajapriya : Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in Crime No.102 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioner	: Mr.R.J. Karthick
For Respondent No.1	: Mr.K.S. Duraipandian
	Additional Public Prosecutor
For respondent no.2	: Mr. M. Ayyadurai

O R D E R

This Criminal Original petition is filed to call for the records in Crime No.102 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent Police and quash the same.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent no.1 and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. The petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.102 of 2017. On the basis of complaint lodged by the second respondent, a case was registered in Crime No.102 of 2017 as against the petitioner for the offences under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC on the file of the first respondent Police.

4. After registration of the complaint, the parties appears <https://hdcservices.hcprave.gov.in/hdcservices> and their dispute amicably out of Court, at the instigation of the elders and well wishers and they have also entered into a compromise. A joint compromise memo signed by the



parties concerned in the presence of their respective counsels is produced before this Court. It is also stated that the first petitioner and the second respondent are now living together and leading a happy married life and it is reported that the second respondent is pregnant.

WEB COPY

5. As per the joint compromise memo the petitioner and the second respondent, who have appeared before this Court have stated in unequivocal terms that the Joint Compromise Memo was signed by them on their own will and volition. The identities of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor through the first respondent Police.

6. In the Compromise Memo, the de facto complainant has agreed to withdraw the criminal case and the petitioner and the second respondent have requested this Court to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.102 of 2017, on the file of the first respondent Police.

7. In the said circumstances, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, on the basis of the compromise memo signed by the parties, the Criminal Original petition is allowed and the proceedings pending in Crime No.102 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent Police is quashed. The Joint Compromise Memo, dated 16.12.2017 signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Writs)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

ENCL:Xerox Copy of Joint Compromise Memo.
To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Sankarankoil Town Police Station,
Thirunelveli District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.R.J.KARTHICK,Advocate,SR.94053

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.17523 of 2017
20.12.2017