



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:19.12.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

CRL.O.P. (MD) No.17399 of 2017

WEB COPY

1.V. Palaniappan
2.Ravi@Ganesan
3.Latha @ Hemalatha :Petitioners / A1 to A3

-Vs-

1. The state rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thuvakudi Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No. 357 of 2017)

2.N. Nagappan : Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to accept the compromise memo and quash the proceedings in Crime No.357 of 2017 on the file of Thuvakudi Police Station, Trichy District.

For Petitioners : Mr.P. Ganapathi Subramaniyan
For Respondent No.1 : Mr.K.S. Duraipandian
Additional Public Prosecutor
For respondent no.2 : Mr. C. Muthu Thiagarajan

O R D E R

This Criminal Original petition is filed to to accept the compromise memo and quash the proceedings in Crime No.357 of 2017 on the file of Thuvakudi Police Station, Trichy District.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent no.1 and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. The petitioners are accused Nos. 1 to 3 in Crime No.357 of 2017. On the basis of complaint received from the second respondent, a case was registered in Crime No.357 of 2017 as against the petitioners for the offences under Sections 447, 379 and 420 of IPC on the file of the first respondent Police.

4. At the registration of the complaint, the parties appears to have settled their dispute amicably out of Court, at the instigation of the elders and well wishers and they have also



entered into a compromise. A joint compromise memo signed by the parties concerned in the presence of their respective counsels is produced before this Court.

5. As per the joint compromise memo, the petitioners and the second respondent, who have appeared before this Court have stated in unequivocal terms that the Joint Compromise Memo was signed by them on their own will and volition. The identities of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor through the first respondent Police.

6. In the Compromise Memo, the de facto complainant has agreed to withdraw the criminal case and the petitioners and the second respondent have requested this Court to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.357 of 2017, on the file of the first respondent Police.

7. In the said circumstances, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, on the basis of the compromise memo signed by the parties, the Criminal Original petition is allowed and the proceedings pending in Crime No.357 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent Police is quashed in toto. The Joint Compromise Memo, dated 04.11.2017 signed by the parties shall form part of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Writs)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

Encl:Xerox Copy of Joint Compromise Memo.
To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Thuvakudi Police Station,
Trichy District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.P.GANAPATHI SUBRAMANIAN, Advocate, SR. 94007

CRL.O.P. (MD) No.17399 of 2017
19.12.2017