



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twelfth day of December Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.17084 of 2017

RAMJEYABALAJI

... PETITIONER/2nd ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUMALPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.680 OF 2017)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.C.SUSIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.ANBARASAN, Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.2, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 3(1), 3(2)(b), 4(1), 4(2)(a), 4(2)(c), 5(1)(a) and 5(1)(c) of Immoral Traffic Prevention Act, 1956, in Crime No.680 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that based on the information, the Sub Inspector of Police had inspected the petitioner's house and found that the petitioner's wife has conducted immoral activities in the said house. Hence, the respondent police registered a case against the petitioner and other accused for the aforesaid offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is the husband of A1 and due to difference of opinion between them, he is living separately. Since, the petitioner's wife was involved in the illegal activities, the petitioner was also implicated in this case.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the State submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m.,until further orders;

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation including custodial interrogation as and when required by the investigation officer;

(iv)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(v) the petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail;

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.

sd/-
12/12/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, TIRUNELVELI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUMALPURAM POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.C.SUSIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.36102

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.17084 of 2017

Date :12/12/2017

PK/RR/SAR-3/18.12.2017 : 3P/6C