



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Thirteenth day of December Two Thousand Seventeen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.17011 of 2017

S. RANGANATHAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SIRUGANUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUCHIRAPALLI DISTRICT
CRIME NO NOT KNOWN OF 2017.

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.YAMUNA Advocate

For Respondent : MR.M.MURUGAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 420 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No. 410 of 2017, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 16.12.2011, the defacto complainant entered into a sale agreement for sum of Rs.4,80,000/-. The defacto complainant paid the advance amount of Rs.1,50,000/- with the accused person/petitioner to purchase the land property in Survey No.65/1, 0.19 hectares, 0.48 acres situated at Sanamangalam Village. Thereafter, defacto complainant questioned the same with the petitioner and filed a complaint before the respondent police.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, if at all, in any civil dispute, the petitioner is ready to deposit the disputed amount in crime no.410 of 2017.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner received a sum of



Rs.1,50,000/- from the defacto complainant in order to purchase the property. If the petitioner deposits the said amount in the above said crime number, this Court may consider to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand Only) to the Crime No.410 of 2017 without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and therefore, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required;

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iv) the petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail;

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.

sd/-
13/12/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



- ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.17011 of 2017
Date :13/12/2017

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>