



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Thursday, the Thirtieth day of November Two Thousand Seventeen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.16362 of 2017

MARIAPPAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.2

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KV NALLUR POLICE STATION,  
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT,  
CRIME NO.363 OF 2017.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.J.SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.ANBARASAN, Government Advocate (Crl.side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.2, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 353, 307, 379 and 506(ii) of I.P.C., and Section 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.363 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused illegally transported one unit of sand from the stream through the Tractor bearing Registration No.TDR-3175 and when the same was questioned by the respondent police, the petitioner abused the respondent police in filthy language and attempted to cause injury with spade. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioner for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution. He fairly conceded that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to his rights and contentions.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the State submitted that the tractor was seized by the respondent police and there is one previous case pending against the petitioner. He further submitted that if the person is caught with illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the illegal sand mining affected areas.

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to his rights and contentions.

6. Merely, because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side), I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust Fund, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and therefore, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily between 10.00 a.m., and 11.00 a.m., for a period of two weeks, and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation including custodial interrogation as and when required by the investigation officer;



(iv) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(vi) the petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail;

(vii) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.

sd/-  
30/11/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
SANKARANKOVIL
  - 2 -do- thro' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
  - 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KV NALLUR POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
  - 4 THE OFFICER INCHARGE  
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST  
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
  - 5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI
- +1. CC to M/S.J.SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate SR.No.35366

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP (MD) No.16362 of 2017  
Date :30/11/2017