



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventeenth day of November Two Thousand Seventeen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.15742 of 2017

GANESAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VEERAVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT,
IN CRIME NO.278 OF 2017

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.MAYIL VAHANA RAJENDRAN,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.1, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C. r/w. Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Women Harrassment Act, in Crime No.278 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the wife of the petitioner. The defacto complainant made a complaint before the respondent police alleging that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with vegetable cutter and caused injuries to her.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there was a family dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, and thereby, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the



State submitted that the injured person was discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Tirunelveli District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks, and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation including custodial interrogation as and when required by the investigation officer;

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(v) the petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail;

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.

sd/-
17/11/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
CHERANMAHADEVI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VEERAVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate SR.No.34624

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.15742 of 2017

Date :17/11/2017

SMA/PM-PN/SAR-2/23.11.2017:2P/6c