



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 15.11.2017

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

CRL OP(MD)No.15522 of 2017

Murugan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1. State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Sivanthipatti Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.139 of 2017)

2. Ariyamuthu

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in Crime No.139 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police and to quash the same.

For Petitioner	: Mr.R.J.Karthick
For R-1	: Mr.A.Ramar, Additional Public Prosecutor.
For R-2	: Mr.P.T.Ramesh Raja

O R D E R

This Criminal Original petition is filed for quashing the First Information Report in Crime No.139 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3.The petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.139 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent. On the basis of the complaint lodged by the second respondent/de-facto complainant, a case was registered in Crime No.139 of 2017, on 29.10.2017, for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b) and 506(i) of I.P.C.

1. It appears that the parties, namely, the petitioner and the second respondent have settled their dispute amicably out of



Court, at the intervention of elders and relatives in the village. The parties also have entered into a compromise. A Joint Compromise Memo, dated 10.11.2017, signed by the petitioner and the de-facto complainant in the presence of their respective counsels is produced before this Court. As per the Joint Compromise memo, the petitioner and the second respondent have agreed for quashing the First Information Report in Crime No.139 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent.

5. Today the parties, namely, the petitioner and the second respondent appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed the Joint Compromise Memo on their own free will and volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor through the first respondent police.

6. Having regard to the specific terms of the Joint Compromise Memo, this Court is of the view that no useful or fruitful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, on the basis of the Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties, the Criminal Original petition is allowed and the First Information Report in Crime No.139 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed in toto. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

ENCL:Xerox Copy of Compromise Memo

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sivanthipatti Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.R.J.KARTHICK,Advocate,SR.87378

CRL OP (MD) No.15522 of 2017
15.11.2017

PMU