



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Ninth day of November Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.15238 of 2017

WEB COPY

1 KARTHI

2 PRAVEEN

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 4 & 5

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHINNALAPATTI POLICE STATION,
AND TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 279/2017.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.L.R.BALASUBRAMANI, Advocate


For Respondent : MR.K.ANBARASAN, Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.4 and 5, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 269, 284 and 307 of IPC, in Crime No.279 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is a purchase Manager in Bhuvaneswari Mills, Thadikombu. In the vacant land of the above said mill, the accused persons discharged the sludge water. When the same was questioned by the de-facto complainant, the accused persons are said to have attacked the de-facto complainant. Thereby, the de-facto complainant lodged a complaint against the accused persons before the respondent police.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence, as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that only based on the confession of A1, the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the State, on instructions, would submit that investigation is almost completed and the co-accused were already released on bail.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Dindigul on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioners shall report before the investigation officer, daily between 10.00 a.m. and 11.00 a.m., for a period of four weeks;
- (ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- (iii) the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation including custodial interrogation as and when required by the investigation officer;
- (iv) the petitioners shall not commit any offence while on bail;



WEB COPY

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law and the anticipatory bail granted stands cancelled automatically.

sd/-
09/11/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHINNALAPATTI POLICE STATION,
AND TALUK, DINDIGUL DISTRICT
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.L.R.BALASUBRAMANI Advocate SR.No.34121

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.15238 of 2017
Date :09/11/2017

PK/RR/SAR-1/10.11.2017 : 3P/6C