



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Thirteenth day of October Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.13903 and 13904 of 2017

WEB COPY

1 AROCKIYA ARUL GEORGE
2 NALLA SETHUPATHY

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 & 2
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

Vs

STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.P. PATTINAM POLICE STATION,
RAMNATHAPURAM DISTRICT,
CR NO. 87/2017.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT
IN CRL OP(MD)No.13903/2017

CR NO.140/2017.

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT
IN CRL OP(MD)No.13904/2017

For Petitioners : M/S.P.R.PRITHIVIRAJ, Advocate
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

For Respondent : MR.K.ANBARASAN, Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Since the petitioners are one and the same in these two cases and the crime involved is in similar nature, these two cases have been taken up together and disposed of by way of common order.

2.The petitioners/A1 and A2 in both petitions were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.09.2017 for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC, in Crime Nos.87 and 140 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police seek bail.

3.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners have committed theft of motorcycle in both cases. On complaint, case has been registered for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC., in Crime Nos.87 of 2017 and 140 of 2017 and the petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody from 20.09.2017.



WIDECOPY

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are falsely implicated in these cases. Hence, he prays for bail to the petitioners.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that two two-wheelers were recovered from the accused persons and investigation is going on to find out the other crimes in similar nature involved by the petitioners. Hence, he vehemently objected to grant bail to the petitioners.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioners, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed.

sd/-
13/10/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.P. PATTINAM POLICE STATION,
RAMNATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 2 THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE, SUB JAIL, RAMANATHAPURAM
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13903 and 13904 of 2017
Date :13/10/2017

PK/PM-PN/SAR-4/30.10.2017 : 2P/4C