



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
DATED:10.10.2017

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR**

CRL.O.P. (MD) No.12678 of 2017

WEB COPY

P.Madhavan

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The Inspector General of Police Sourth Zone,  
Pudhu Natham Road,  
Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Police,  
Sivagangai District,  
Sivagangai.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Sivagangai (District Crime Branch),  
Sivagangai District.

4.Ponnusamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to direct the Inspector of Police, Sivagangai (District Crime Branch), Sivagangai District, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent herein to not to harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.V.Arun

For R1 to R3 : Mr.K.S.Durai Pandian

Additional Public Prosecutor


\*\*\*

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the third respondent herein not to harass the petitioner.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for R1 to R3.

3.The petitioner admits that a complaint has been lodged by the fourth respondent against the petitioner for a cognizable offence under Section 420 of I.P.C for dishonor of a cheque. It is stated that the petitioner was running a business in the name and style of "RPN Technical Service" in Dubai and he borrowed some amount from the fourth respondent. It is further stated by the petitioner's counsel that the petitioner gave a blank cheque of Rs.10000/- and a blank stamp paper signed by the petitioner's son. Though the entire amount borrowed from the fourth respondent was discharged, it is stated by the petitioner's counsel that the



fourth respondent did not return the cheque and the stamp paper by stating that they were misplaced. It is further stated that the fourth respondent has given a false complaint on the basis of the blank cheque and the blank stamp paper executed by the petitioner with regard to the said transaction. Since the fourth respondent has given the complaint before the third respondent, it is submitted that the third respondent is harassing the petitioner.

4. Though the petitioner has not submitted any records or materials before this Court to substantiate his case, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instructions would submit that the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail and therefore, there is no harassment in this case.

5. Recording the said statement, this petition is closed.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar (T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Inspector General of Police South Zone,  
Pudhu Natham Road,  
Madurai.
2. The Superintendent of Police,  
Sivagangai District,  
Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Sivagangai (District Crime Branch),  
Sivagangai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+One cc to M/s.A.V.Arun, Advocate, SR.No.82404

mm/psd  
RL/6C/2P/SKN/SAR2/25/10/2017

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.12678 of 2017