



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.09.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **S.S.SUNDAR**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11999 of 2017

1.Kaviyarasu
2.Bharathi

...Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 & 2

-Vs-

1. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Vadipatti,
Madurai District.

(In Crime No.423 of 2017) ...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.K.Ponnusamy

... 2nd Respondent/De-facto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to all for the records in FIR in Crime No.423 of 2017 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.V,Muthu Samundeeswaran

For R-1 : Mr.K.Anbarasan,
Government Advocate(Crl.side).

For R-2 : Mr.J.Madhu

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the records in FIR in Crime No.423 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent.

2.Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Criminal side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/>
3. Based on the complaint received from the second respondent, against the petitioners, a case was registered in Crime No.423 of



2017, on the file of the first respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b) and 323 of I.P.C.

4.It appears that on the advise of the elders and friends, the petitioner and the second respondent have agreed to compromise the matter out of Court and they have also entered compromise. A Joint Compromise Memo, signed by both parties, in the presence of their respective counsels, is also produced before this Court. As per the Joint Compromise Memo, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent has no objection for quashing the First Information Report in Crime No.423 of 2017.

5.The parties appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed the Joint Compromise Memo on their own will and free volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) through the first respondent police.

6.Having regard to the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful or fruitful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence the First Information Report in Cr.No.423 of 2017, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Vadipatti Police Station, Madurai District, is quashed in toto. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

7.Accordingly, the Criminal Original petition is allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

Encl: Xerox copy of Compromise memo

The Sub Inspector of Police,
Vadipatti Police Station,
Vadipatti,
Madurai District.

Cmr

AE/KP/SAR3/09.10.2017/2P/2C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

Cr1.O.P.(MD) No.11999 of 2017
18.09.2017