



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.08.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.11177 of 2017

1.Murugesan
2.Lakshmanan
3.Rajaboopathi ...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

-Vs-

1. The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District,
(In Crime No.33 of 2017) ...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Rasu ... 2nd Respondent/De-facto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for First Information Report pertaining to the case in Crime No.33 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Ramasamy
For R-1 : Mr.K.Anbarasan,
Government Advocate(Crl.side).
For R-2 : Mr.P.Venkatesan

O R D E R

This Criminal Original petition has been filed to call for First Information Report pertaining to the case in Crime No.33 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Criminal side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3.On the basis of complaint given by the second respondent, against the petitioners, a case was registered in Crime No.33 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 294(b) and 506(ii) of I.P.C. The petitioners are the accused Nos.1 to 3 in Crime No.33 of 2017.



4.It appears that at the advise of the elders and friends, the petitioner and second respondent have agreed to compromise the matter out of Court. A Joint Compromise Memo, dated 22.08.2017, is also filed. As per the Joint Compromise Memo, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent has given his consent to quash the entire proceedings in Crime No.33/2017 in favour of the petitioners.

5.The parties appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed in the Joint Compromise Memo on their own will and volition. The identities of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identities of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) through the first respondent police.

6.Having regard to the compromise arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. As per the Compromise Memo signed by the parties, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent has agreed to quash the proceedings even against the petitioners. Hence the criminal proceedings in Crime No.33 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police, Nainarkovil Police Station, Ramanathapuram District, is quashed in *toto*. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

7.Accordingly, the Criminal Original petition is allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-III)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

(*) COMPROMISE MEMO XEROX COPY IS ENCLOSED HERewith

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil Police Station, Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai

+One cc to Mr.S.Ramasamy, Advocate, SR.No.74551
cmr

RL/4C/2P/SV/MMS/SAR1/8/9/2017

Cr1.OP(MD) No.11177 of 2017