



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.02.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.CHOCKALINGAM

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1089 of 2017

WEB COPY

Murugaraja

.. Petitioner/Sole Accused

-Vs-

1. The State Rep. by,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanoor Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.3 of 2017)

... Respondent / Complainant

2. Priya @ Chinna Eswari

... 2nd Respondent / De-facto
complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records in Crime No.3 of 2017 on the file of the Sub Inspector of Police, Chinnamanoor Police Station, Theni District and quash the same.

For Petitioner	: Mr.A.Uthayakumar
For R1	: Mr.K.Anbarasan
	Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R2	: Mr.G.Vishnuram


O R D E R

This petition has been filed to quash the case in Crime No.3 of 2017 on the file of the Sub Inspector of Police, Chinnamanoor Police Station, Theni District.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the second respondent and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the first respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that based on the complaint given by the second respondent/de facto complainant, a case has been registered against the petitioner in Crime No.3 of 2017, for the offences under Section 354-A of IPC., read with Section 4 of Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 and Sections 3(1) w(i), 3(2), (va) of SC/ST Act (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015, on the file of the first respondent police and the same is pending.

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, both the petitioner as well as the second respondent are appeared in person and their identifications were also verified by this Court, in



addition to the confirmation of the identity of the parties by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) through the respondent police and they categorically made a statement that the dispute between them have been settled amicably. They also filed a joint memo of compromise dated 30.01.2017, duly signed by both parties and counter signed by their respective Counsel.

5. In view of the above, since the dispute between the parties was amicably settled out of Court, there is no necessity to keep this case pending. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.3 of 2017, for the offences under Section 354-A of IPC., read with Section 4 of Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 and Sections 3(1) w(i), 3(2), (va) of SC/ST Act (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015, on the file of the first respondent police is hereby quashed. The joint memo of compromise shall form a part of this order.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Encl:xerox copy of Compromise Memo dated
dated.30.01.2017

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanoor Police Station,
Theni District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to M/s.A.UTHAYAKUMAR Advocate in SR. No.5775

PJL

MAS.RSK.SAR1/06.02.2017/2P-4C

Crl.O.P. (MD) No.1089 of 2017
02.02.2017



WEB COPY

3

02.02.2017