



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Sixth day of February Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.M.BASHEER AHAMED

CRL OP(MD) No.1088 of 2017

SANKARAPANDI,

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.29 OF 2017.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.R.A.RAMACHANDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KANDASAMY Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.29 of 2017 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that while taking water in a public tap, wordy quarrel arose between the petitioner and the de facto complainant and thereby the petitioner attacked the de facto complainant with aruval and caused injury.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that injured person was discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that injured person was discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the police officer who



intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 3 weeks.

[b]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560)**.

sd/-

06/02/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-V,
TIRUNELVELI.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.S.R.A.RAMACHANDHRAN Advocate SR.No.6444

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1088 of 2017

Date :06/02/2017

MKV-PM-PN-SAR 1/13.2.2017/2P-6C