



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Fourth day of September Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice J.NISHA BANU

CRL OP(MD) No.10746 of 2017

1 VELUSAMY
2 NAGARAJ

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NOS.3&4

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CITY CRIME BRANCH POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 30 OF 2017

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.N.ANANDAKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.RAMAR Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who are arrayed as A3 & A4 in Crime No.30 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 120(B), 465, 467, 468, 406, 420, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State.

3.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners herein/A3 & A4 introduced the de-facto complainant to one Akbar Ali/A1, for getting a job in Canada and he has parted Rs.4,86,149/- to A1, in this regard. But, instead of sending him to Canada, A1 sent the de-facto complainant to Combodia and made him to stay there for several days and a sum of Rs.1,10,000/- alone has been refunded to him. Hence, the present case has been registered against the petitioners.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent. They have nothing to do with the alleged offence. They have not committed any offence as alleged in the complaint. They have been falsely implicated in this case. They are ready to co-operate with the enquiry.

5.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent / Police submitted that the first accused cheated the de-facto complainant, by giving tourist visa to Combodia, instead of providing a job to him in Canada, as promised and based on his complaint, a case has been registered by the respondent police.



6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Trichy, and on each executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7.The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand automatically dismissed.

sd/-
04/09/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, TRICHY.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH POLICE STATION, TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.N.ANANDAKUMAR Advocate SR.No.29963

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10746 of 2017
Date :04/09/2017

MKV-PN-SAR 2/08.09.2017/2P-6C