



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Third day of January Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DURAISWAMY

CMP(MD) No.11695 of 2016
IN
SA(MD) No.73 of 2016

T.K.RAMANUJAM,
THROUGH HIS POWER AGENT
T.R.KALLAPIRAN

... PETITIONER/APELLANT

Vs

1 U.RAJAIAH NADAR,
2 M.SANKARAPANDI NADAR,
3 S.AGASTHIYAN NADAR,
4 M.PAULPANDI NADAR,
5 JAYASINGH
6 SATHIYANATHA NADAR,
7 ARJUNAN NADAR (DIED)
8 NAMBI SELVARAJ
9 NATARAJAN
10 SELVARAJ


.... RESPONDENTS 1 TO 10/
RESPONDENTS 1 TO 10

11 POOVAMMAL
12 LAKSHMANA PERUMAL
13 THIRUMALAI NAMBI
14 INDIRA
15 POONKANI
16 RAMACHANDRAN
17 MUTHUMARI

... RESPONDENTS 11 TO 17/
RESPONDENTS 11 TO 17

(LEGAL HEIRS OF DECEASED 7TH RESPONDENT)

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to issue necessary Directions Directing Enquiry and investigation by Competent Authorities to verify the genuineness of the Documents sought to be marked by the Respondents herein in CMP(MD)No.9817 of 2016 in S.A.(MD)No.73 of 2016 and to take suitable action against the Respondents herein for filing forged, created and fabricated documents before this Honourable Court.



the file of the Subordinate Court, Valliyoore reversing the Judgment and decree of the Trial Court dated 11.07.2013 made in O.S.No.71 of 2009 on the file of the Principal District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri.

ORDER : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.S.P.MAHARAJAN, Advocate for the petitioner and of M/S.V.K.VIJAYARAGHAVAN, Advocate for R1 to R6 and R8 to R17 the court made the following order:-

The petitioner/appellant has filed the above petition to issue necessary directions, directing Enquiry and Investigation by competent authorities to verify the genuineness of the documents sought to be marked by the respondents in C.M.P(MD)No.9817 of 2016 and to take suitable action against the respondents herein for filing forged, created and fabricated documents before this Court.

2.In the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the petitioner/appellant has stated that the documents sought to be marked as additional documents by the respondents in C.M.P(MD) No.9817 of 2016 are all forged and fabricated documents. Therefore suitable direction may be issued to enquire and conduct investigation by competent authorities to verify the genuineness of the documents. Since Mr.V.K.Vijaya Raghavan, learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 6 and 8 to 17 herein had made an endorsement that he is not pressing C.M.P(MD)No.9817 of 2016, I do not find any reason to keep the present application pending.

3.In view of the order passed in C.M.P(MD)No.9817 of 2016 dismissing the same as not pressed, the present application filed by the petitioner/appellant is closed.

sd/-

23/01/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1.THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NANGUNERI

2.THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE, VALLIYOOR
RL/3C/2P/RR/ME/SAR2/27.1.2017

ORDER

IN

CMP(MD) No.11695 of 2016

IN

SA(MD) No.73 of 2016

Date :23/01/2017