



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated : 21.07.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU

C.M.A. (MD) .No.443 of 2014

and

M.P. (MD) No.1 of 2014

Branch Manager,
The Oriental Insurance Company Limited,
No.4, Bharthidasan Road,
Cantonment,
Trichirappalli - 620 001. .. Appellant /2nd Respondent

Vs.

1.Tmt.Shanthi .. 1st Respondent/ Petitioner

2.Thiru.Sivapathasekaram .. 2nd Respondent/ 1st Respondent

Prayer:- Appeal filed under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, to set aside the award made in W.C.No.144 of 2012, dated 01.04.2014, on the file of the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Trichirappalli.

For Appellant : Mr.K.Bhaskaran

For R1 : Mr.N.Sudhakar Nagaraj

For R2 : No Appearance

J U D G M E N T

This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed by the appellant/Insurance Company against the award, dated 01.04.2014 in W.C No.144 of 2012 on the file of the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Trichirappalli.

2.The first respondent filed W.C.No.144 of 2014 before the learned Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Trichirappalli, claiming a service of Rs.1,00,000/- as compensation for the grievous injuries sustained by him in an accident occurred during the course of his employment under the second respondent.



3. In the counter affidavit, it is stated that there is no relationship of employer and employee amongst the first respondent and petitioner. There is no merit in the petition and the same deserves to be dismissed.

WEB COPY

4. On the basis of the available evidence on record, the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Tiruchirappalli has awarded a sum of Rs.7,55,887/- and against the award passed by the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Tiruchirappalli the present Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been preferred at the instance of the second respondent as appellant.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant/second respondent has attacked the impugned award passed by the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Tiruchirappalli on the ground that there is no relationship of employer and employee has been existence amongst the first respondent and petitioner.

6. Per contra the learned counsel appearing for the first respondent/petitioner has contended that the vehicle which involved in the accident absolutely belongs to the first respondent and the same has been insured with the second respondent and on 24.04.2012 the same has been driven by the petitioner and subsequently accident has happened and due to that the present petition has been filed and the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Tiruchirappalli after considering the available evidence on record has passed the impugned award and therefore the contentions put forth on the side of the appellant/second respondent are liable to be rejected.

7. It is an admitted fact that the lorry bearing registration No.TN-05-Z-5988 belongs to the first respondent (owner of the vehicle) and the same has been insured with the present appellant/second respondent.

8. The main objection raised on the side of the appellant/second respondent is that there is no employer and employee relationship amongst the first respondent and petitioner. As stated earlier the first respondent is the owner of the lorry bearing registration No.TN-05-Z-5988 and the same has been insured with the second respondent.

9. Considering the fact that the first respondent is the owner of the said vehicle and also considering that in the

been clearly stated that on the date of accident the petitioner has driven the same as its driver, it is very clear that the petitioner has clearly established the alleged



relationship of employer and employee amongst the first respondent and petitioner. Therefore the attack made on the side of the appellant/second respondent goes out without merit. Hence, the present Civil Miscellaneous Appeal deserves to be dismissed.

10. In the result, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed and the award dated 01.04.2014 passed in W.C.No.144 of 2012 on the file of the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour), Trichirappalli, is confirmed. The appellant/Insurance Company is directed to deposit the entire award amount with accrued interests and costs, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if not already deposited and on such deposit being made, the claimant is permitted to withdraw the same, with accrued interests and costs. No Costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Commissioner for Workmen's
Compensation (Deputy Commissioner of Labour),
Trichirappalli.

2.The Record Keeper,
V.R. Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+One cc to Mr.K.Bhaskaran, Advocate, SR.No.66919

+One cc to Mr.N.Sudhagar Nagaraj, Advocate, SR.No.67018

rj2
RL/5C/3P/JC/SAR1/2/8/2017

C.M.A. (MD) .No.443 of 2014
and
M.P. (MD) No.1 of 2014