

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:16.08.2017

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.V.MURALIDARAN

Writ Petition No.39100 of 2003

Mrs.J.Infanta

.. Petitioner

/versus/

1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 9.

2.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus,
College Road,
Chennai - 6.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Saidapet,
Chennai - 15.

4.The District Educational Officer (North),
Egmore,
Chennai -8.

5.The Correspondent,
Annai Velankanni High School,
Sastri Nagar,
Vyasarpady,
Chennai - 600 039.

6.J.Jesi,
Secondary Grade Teacher,
St.Pauls Higher Secondary School,
Vepery, Chennai - 7.

..Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the respondents ending with 15.12.2003 in Na.Ka.No.8861/Aa3/2003 on the file of the 3rd respondent and quash the same directing the respondents 1 to 4 to accord approval to the appointment of the petitioner w.e.f 05.06.1998 as a Secondary Grade Teacher in the 5th respondent school with all monetary and other attendant benefits.

For Petitioners:M/s. S.M.Edward Stanley
for M/s.Arul Mary

For Respondents:M/s.K.Bhuvaneshwari
Government Advocate for R1 to R4

For Respondents No.5 Mr.V.R. Appaswamee

For Respondent NO.6 : No. Appearance

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking for issuance of a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the respondents ending with 15.12.2003 in Na.Ka.No.8861/Aa3/2003 on the file of the 3rd respondent and quash the same directing the respondents 1 to 4 to accord approval to the appointment of the petitioner w.e.f 05.06.1998 as a Secondary Grade Teacher in the 5th respondent school with all monetary and other attendant benefits.

2. I heard M/s. S.M.Edward Stanley for M/s.Arul Mary, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and M/s.K.Bhuvaneshwari, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 to 4.

3. It is reported by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner was already appointed in the same School. Hence, the prayer sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. Recording the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ub

To

1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Fort St.George,Chennai - 9.

2.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road, Chennai - 6.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Saidapet, Chennai - 15.


4.The District Educational Officer (North),
Egmore, Chennai -8.

5.The Correspondent,
Annai Velankanni High School,
Sastri Nagar, Vyasarpady,
Chennai - 600 039.

+ 2ccs to Mr.Arul Mary, Advocate SR.59090
+ 1 cc to Government PleaderSr.58872

SR(CO)
EU 11.09.17

W.P.No.39100 of 2003



WEB COPY